ORIGINAL PROF-0-5516



https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2020.27.11.5516

1. MBBS, FCPS Assistant Professor Obstetrics & Gvnecology

- 2. MBBS, FCPS Instructor Obstetrics & Gynecology PAQSJ Gambat Institute of Medical Sciences Gambat Khairpur Mirs Sindh.
- 3. MBBS. FCPS Senior Registirar Health Department Sindh Govt.
- 4. MBBS. FCPS Senior Registrar
- 5. MBBS, FCPS Assistant Professor Obstetrics & Gynecology
- 6. MBBS, FCPS Senior Registrar

12456

PAQSJ Gambat Institute of Medical Sciences Gambat. Khairpur Mirs Sindh

Correspondence Address:

Dr. Hafiza Khatoon Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology PAQSJ Gambat Institute of Medical Sciences Gambat, Khairpur Sindh. drhafizakhatoonpk@amail.com

Article received on: 09/07/2020 Accepted for publication: 08/09/2020

The frequency of post-partum urinary retention (PPUR) and factors contributing PPUR after vaginal delivery.

Hafiza Khatoon¹, Ambreen Naz², Nousheen Mushtaq³, Farzana⁴, Kanta Aahuja⁵, Safia⁶

ABSTRACT... Objective: To determine the frequency and factors contributing to post-partum urinary retention (PPUR) after vaginal delivery. Study Design: Observational study. Setting: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gambat Institute of Medical Sciences Gambat, Khairpur Sindh. Period: 1st November 2018 to 30th June 2019. Material & Methods: Out of 114 patients delivered vaginaly at Gambat Institute of Medical Sciences Gambat, Khairpur Sindh, postnatal patients who do not urinate within 6 hours after normal viginal delivery, label as a case of PPUR following the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Results: Frequency of postpartum urinary retention (PPUR) after vaginal delivery was observed in 6.14% (7/114). Significant risk Factors contributing to post-partum urinary retention (PPUR) were Prolong labor and epidural analgesia. Conclusion: We concluded that statistically significant risk factors for postpartum urinary retention were epidural analgesia and prolong labor. So attention to bladder care during labor and vigilance in the early detection.

Key words: Postpartum Urinary Retention (PPUR), Risk Factors, Vaginal Delivery.

Article Citation: Khatoon H, Naz A, Mushtaq N, Farzana, Aahuja K, Safia. The frequency of

post-partum urinary retention (PPUR) and factors contributing PPUR after vaginal delivery. Professional Med J 2020; 27(11):2463-2468.

https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2020.27.11.5516

INTRODUCTION

Postpartum urinary retention is a common and potentially morbid situation that occurs if it is not quickly recognized and managed.1 The specific incidence isn't clear because of undiagnosed asymptomatic cases, however as indicated by the literature, the evaluated rate of postpartum urinary retention (PPUR) has a wide range somewhere in the range of 0.05% and 37%. Multi factors are involved to developed PUR likely; during delivery anatomical changes is occurred, for example, bladder descent through pushing because of birth-related pelvic floor injury may disturb normal voiding by causing obstruction, loss of awareness of bladder filling, and inhibition of micturition.2 The effects of these factors in assessing the impairment of voiding can be attributed to the observation that the incidence of PUR is higher in patients with epidural analgesia, episotomy and higher birth weight.3,4

Since Yip et al. proposed a distinction between overt and covert PUR in 1997, many authors

have adopted these definitions, which has led to a more consistent comparison between studies that deal with this common problem.5 Women who are unable to micturate spontaneously within 6 h of delivery are categorized as having overt (symptomatic) urinary retention. On ultrasound presences of more than 150mL post void residual bladder volume PVRV is Covert (asymptomatic) urinary retention. Some international studies also reported spontaneous recovery after some days to a normal PVRV in women with covert PUR."6,7

The exact pathophysiology of PUR is not yet understood. It is possible to have multiple reasons like physiological, neurological and mechanical processes in the postpartum period. Some complications like bladder dysfunction and urinary tract infection can be developed if late diagnosis of PUR.8,9 Thus, we aimed to assess the frequency & risk factors that can predict the occurrence of PPUR in women who delivered vaginally.

MATERIAL & METHODS

This observational study was conducted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, after the ethical approval of Gambat Institute of Medical Sciences Gambat, Khairpur Sindh, from 1st November 2018 to 30th June 2019. Non-Probability consecutive sampling technique was used. All 114 women were hospitalized for normal viginal delivery. All primi and multigravida women aged between 26 to 40 years with ≥38 weeks gestational age were included in study. Patients who do not urinate within 6 hours after normal viginal delivery, label as a case of PPUR. While exclusion criteria were who had urinary tract disease, neurogenic bladder, pelvic organ prolapsus or previous bladder surgery and diabeties mellitus. The women were interviewed & recorded on predesigned proforma including following data age, BMI, parity, gestational age and history of delivery including duration of labor, instrumental delivery, episiotomy, use of epidural analgesia. The collected of data were analyses using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software, Version 21.

RESULTS

A total of 114 women were included in this study. The average age of the women was 28.81 ± 3.98 years with mean gestational age 38.34 ± 0.88 weeks (Table-I). Means Body Mass Index (BMI) was 26.59 ± 2.62 kg/m². Out of 114 women, 67(58.77%) were primigravida and 47(41.23%) had multigravida (Table-I). Duration of labor was 11.55 ± 2 . Frequency of postpartum urinary retention (PPUR) after vaginal delivery was observed in 6.14% (7/114) women. In our study

observed prolong labor and epidural analgesia were significant contributing factor for PPUR while primipara, instrument delivery and episiotomy were not the significant factors. Significant Rate of PPUR was also observed among different age groups, gestational age and body mass index.

In Table-II showing post-partum urinary retentions were common in primiparity 4(57.1%) and episiotomy 4(57.1%). Post-partum urinary retentions was observed according to age groups. More commonly were observed below the age of 30 years (Table-III). While according to Gestational Age were observed more Postpartum urinary retentions in gestational age below and equal to 38 weeks (Table-IV).

DISCUSSION

Postpartum urinary retention is a medical condition that is not precisely identified or described by standard practices, but generally has a good prognosis.¹⁰ The incidence of postpartum urinary retention was varies depends upon country to country ranging from 0.05% to 51.7% of all deliveries.¹¹ After vaginal delivery postpartum urinary retention was considered a minor complication.¹² Multiple etiological factors of PUR. In international study conducted by Ching-Chung et al in 2002, reported multiple factors are involved in PUR like physiological, neurological and mechanical processes.¹³

The development of postpartum urinary retention may have been caused by prolonged stages of delivery.

Variables	Mean	Std. Deviation		ce Interval for ean	Median	IQR	
		Lower		Upper Bound			
Age in years	28.81	3.98	28.07	29.55	30	7	
Gestational Age in weeks	38.34	0.88	38.18	38.51	38	1	
Body Mass Index (kg/m²)	26.59	2.62	26.10	27.07	26	2	
Duration of labor (hrs)	11.55	2.13	11.16	11.95	12	2	

Table-I. Descriptive statistics of characteristics of patients.

Washing	Post-partum	urinary retention	Total		
Variables	Yes No		Total	P-Value	
Prolong Labor					
Yes	2(28.6%)	2(1.9%)	4(3.5%)	0.005	
No	5(71.4%)	105(98.1%)	110(96.5%)		
Primiparity					
Yes	4(57.1%)	63(58.9%)	67(58.8%)	0.00	
No	3(42.9%)	44(41.1%)	47(41.2%)	0.92	
Instrument Delivery					
Yes	2(28.2%)	10(9.3%)	12(10.5%)	0.108	
No	4(71.4%)	97(90.7%)	102(90.7%)		
Mode of instrument delivery					
(n=12)					
Vacuum	2(100%)	7(70%)	9(75%)	0.387	
Forceps	0(0%)	3(30%)	3(25%)		
Epidural Analgesia					
Yes	2(28.6%)	6(5.6%)	8(7%)	0.021	
No	5(71.4%)	101(94.4%)	106(93%)	3.021	
Episiotomy					
Yes	4(57.1%)	71 (66.4%)	75(65.8%)	0.00	
No	3(42.9%)	36(33.6%)	39(34.2%)	0.62	

Table-II. Descriptive statistics of variable of PPUR.

	Age Below and Equal to 30 Years PPUR			Age Above 30 Years		
Variables				PPUR		
	Yes n=5	No n=73	P-Value	Yes n=2	No n=34	P-Value
Prolong Labor Yes No	2(40%) 3(60%)	1(1.4%) 72(98.6%)	0.005	0(0%) 2(100%)	1(2.9%) 33(97.1%)	0.81
Primiparity Yes No	4(80%) 1(20%)	52(71.2%) 21(28.8%)	0.67	0(0%) 2(100%)	11(32.4%) 23(67.6%)	0.33
Instrument Delivery Yes No	1 (20%) 4(80%)	10(13.7%) 63(86.3%)	0.69	1 (50%) 1 (50%)	0(0%) 34(100%)	0.005
Epidural Analgesia Yes No	1 (20%) 4(80%)	5(6.8%) 68(93.2%)	0.28	1 (50%) 1 (50%)	1(2.9%) 33(97.1%)	0.11
Episiotomy Yes No	4(80%) 1(20%)	58(79.5%) 15(20.5%)	0.97	0(0%) 2(100%)	13(38.2%) 21(61.8%)	0.27
Table-III. Age groups distribution.						

	Gestational Age Below and Equal to 38 Weeks PPUR			Gestational Age Above 38 Weeks PPUR		P-Value
Variables			P-Value			
	Yes n=5	No n=70		Yes n=2	No n=37	
Prolong Labor Yes No	2(40%) 3(60%)	1(1.4%) 69(98.6%)	0.005	0(0%) 2(100%)	1(2.7%) 36(97.3%)	0.81
Primiparity Yes No	3(60%) 2(40%)	39(55.7%) 31(44.3%)	0.85	1(50%) 1(50%)	24(64.9%) 13(35.1%)	0.66
Instrument Delivery Yes No	2(40%) 3(60%)	3(4.3%) 67(95.7%)	0.002	0(0%) 2(100%)	7(18.9%) 30(81.1%)	0.49
Epidural Analgesia Yes No	2(40%) 3(60%)	5(7.1%) 65(92.9%)	0.015	0(0%) 2(100%)	1(2.7%) 36(97.3%)	0.81
Episiotomy Yes No	3(60%) 2(40%)	46(65.7%) 24(34.3%)	0.78	1(50%) 1(50%)	25(67.6%) 12(32.4%)	0.61

Table-IV. With respect to gestational age.

We found that the second and third phases of labor increased the risk of PPUR, but the duration of the active phase was not related to postpartum. A possible mechanism is applied mechanical strength, which contributes to pelvic nerve damage leading to neurologic impairment of the bladder. International studies also reported that prolonged labor developed PPUR. In our study observed 28.6% developed PPUR after vaginal delivery. International study conducted by Kekre et al in 2011, it was reported prolonged second stage of labor increased chance to developed PPUR.

The most important predisposing risk factors for postpartum urinary retention are instrumental delivery leds to tissue oedema and detrussor muscle injury.¹⁷ In our study 2(28.2%) cases developed PPUR due to instrumental delivery. While in the study of A Yarci Gursoy¹⁸ also reported that instrumental delivery- forceps or vaccum, are commonly developed voiding dysfunction after vaginal delivery. In our study instrument delivery was significantly associated with PPUR in above 30 years of age women (p=0.0005). According to Lamblin G et al; reported significant risk factor for PPUR were forceps or vacuum assisted delivery

and may affect the ability of the urethral sphincter to relax. Perineal trauma may be occurred due to instrumental vaginal delivery also risk factor for PPUR.¹⁹

Our results show that episiotomy independently affects function of bladder after vaginal delivery. The repair with stitches after episiotomy as a predictor is probably responsible for the development of the effect of pain and subsequent disturbance in bladder sensitivity and also central inhibition of bladder function.²⁰

There is a lot of conflicting research in the literature, some suggest the use of neuraxial anesthesia compared to other pain management methods including the Bradley method, associated with the risk of PPUR development, the rest could not be found by any association.²¹ Our results are in line with specific international research. Many authors have reported a link between the effects of epidural anesthesia on the bladder and urine retention.^{22,23}

In our study, parity, length of labor, instrumental delivery, epidural anesthesia and episiotomy significantly increase the risk of PPUR. Over all frequency of PPUR in our study was 6.14%. Study conducted by Izumi Kawasoe in Japan and reported prevalence of postpartum urinary retention was 1.2%.²⁴

CONCLUSIONS

We concluded that statistically significant risk factors for postpartum urinary retention were epidural analgesia and prolong labor. So attention to bladder care during labor and vigilance in the early detection. Initiatives for the prevention and management of postpartum urinary retention are necessary.

Copyright© 08 Sep, 2020.

REFERENCES

- Napoe GS, Adjei NN, Cooper AC, Raker CA, Korbly NB. Postpartum urinary retention: A survey of obstetrics and gynecology residents in the United States. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2020; 10:1-4.
- Kekre AN, Vijayanand S, Dasgupta R, Kekre N. Postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2011; 112(2):112-5.
- Evron S, Dimitrochenko V, Khazin V, Sherman A, Sadan O, Boaz M, et al. The effect of intermittent versus continuous bladder catheterization on labor duration and postpartum urinary retention and infection: A randomized trial. J Clin Anesthesia. 2008; 20(8):567-72.
- 4. Lim JL. Post-partum voiding dysfunction and urinary retention. J Obstetd Gynaecol. 2010;50:502–5
- Yip SK, Brieger G, Hin LY, Chung T. Urinary retention in the post-partum period. The relationship between obstetric factors and the post-partum post-void residual bladder volume. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1997; 76:667–672.
- Mulder FE, Rengerink KO, van der Post JA, Hakvoort RA, Roovers JP. Delivery-related risk factors for covert postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery. Int Urogynecology J. 2016 Jan 1; 27(1):55-60.
- Panayi DC, Khullar V. Urogynaecological problems in pregnancy and postpartum sequelae. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 21:97–100.
- Polat M, Şentürk MB, Pulatoğlu Ç, Doğan O, Kılıççı Ç, Budak MŞ. Postpartum urinary retention: Evaluation of risk factors. Turkish journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2018 Jun; 15(2):70-8.

- Mulder FE, Rengerink KO, van der Post JA, Hakvoort RA, Roovers JP. Delivery-related risk factors for covert postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery. Int Urogynecology J. 2016 Jan 1; 27(1):55-60.
- Mulder FE, Rengerink KO, van der Post JA, Hakvoort RA, Roovers JP. Delivery-related risk factors for covert postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery. Int Urogynecology J. 2016; 27(1):55-60.
- 11. Polat M, Şentürk MB, Pulatoğlu Ç, Doğan O, Kılıççı Ç, Budak MŞ. **Postpartum urinary retention: Evaluation of risk factors.** Turkish J Obstetrics Gynecol. 2018 Jun; 15(2):70.
- Pifarotti P, Gargasole C, Folcini C, Gattei U, Nieddu E, Sofi G, et al. Acute post-partum urinary retention: Analysis of risk factors, a case control study. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2014; 289:1249-53.
- Ching-Chung L, Shuenn-Dhy C, Ling-Hong T, Ching-Chang H, Chao-Lun C, Po-Jen C. Postpartum urinary retention: assessment of contributing factors and long-term clinical impact. Australian and New Zealand J Obstetrics and Gynaecol. 2002 Aug; 42(4):367-70.
- Salemnic Y, Gold R, Toov JH, Jaffa A, Gordon D, Lessing J, et al. Prevalence, obstetric risk factors and natural history of asymptomatic postpartum urinary retention after first vaginal delivery - a prospective study of 200 primipara women. J Urol 2012; 187(Suppl):788-95.
- Cavkaytar S, Kokanalı MK, Baylas A, Topçu HO, Laleli B, Taşçı Y. Postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery: Assessment of risk factors in a casecontrol study. J Turkish German Gynecol Association. 2014;15(3):140-6
- Kekre AN, Vijayanand S, Dasgupta R, Kekre N. Postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2011; 112:112-5.
- 17. Yarci Gursoy A, Kiseli M, Tangal S, Caglar GS, Haliloglu AH, et al. **Prolonged postpartum urinary retention: A case report and review of the literature.** S Afr J Obstet Gynaecol 2015; 21(2): 48-49.
- Gursoy AY, Kiseli M, Tangal S, Caglar GS, Haliloglu AH, Cengiz SD. Prolonged postpartum urinary retention:
 A case report and review of the literature. South African J Obstet and Gynaecol. 2015; 21(2):48-9.
- Lamblin G, Chene G, Aeberli C, Soare R, Moret S, Bouvet L, Doret-Dion M. Identification of risk factors for postpartum urinary retention following vaginal deliveries: A retrospective case-control study. European J Obstetrics & Gynecol and Reproductive Biology. 2019 Dec 1; 243:7-11.

- 20. Mulder FE, Rengerink KO, van der Post JA, Hakvoort RA, Roovers JP. **Delivery-related risk factors for covert postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery.** Int Urogynecol Journal. 2016 Jan 1; 27(1):55-60.
- Suzuki S, Kakizaki E, Kobayashi R, Teshima S. Risk factors for postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery at term without epidural anesthesia. J Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Med. 2019; 32(20):3470-2.
- Oh JJ, Kim SH, Shin JS, Shin SJ. Risk factors for acute postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery: Focus on episiotomy direction. J Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Med. 2016 Feb 1; 29(3):408-11.
- 23. Alas A, Hidalgo R, Espaillat L, Devakumar H, Davila GW, Hurtado E. Does spinal anesthesia lead to postoperative urinary retention in same-day urogynecology surgery? A retrospective review. Int Urogynecol J.2019 Aug 1; 30(8):1283-9.
- 24. Kawasoe I, Kataoka Y. Prevalence and risk factors for postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery in Japan: A case control study. Japan J Nursing Science. 2020 Apr; 17(2):e12293.

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

Sr. #	Author(s) Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author(s) Signature
1	Hafiza Khatoon	Conception and design, Statistical expertise, Critical revision of the article for important intellectual	matri
2		content.	Ambrien
	Ambreen Naz	Data collection Critical revision of the article for important intellectual	Museum
3	Nousheen Mushtag	content. Data collection, Critical revision,	(N)
3	Nousheen Mushlaq	Drafting of the article.	, in
4	Farzana	Data collection.	James
			Dain.
5	Kanta Aahuja	Data collection.	
6	Safia	Data collection.	Safea