INTRODUCTION

Acute pancreatitis is a common gastrointestinal disorder and in the majority of patients the etiology is either alcohol-associated or biliary, i.e. caused by gallstones or sludge.\(^1,2\) The incidence of acute biliary pancreatitis is increasing worldwide, possibly due to an increased risk of gallstone disease due to nutritional and lifestyle factors and obesity.\(^3,4\) The economic burden of acute pancreatitis is high; in the United States alone, the annual costs of acute pancreatitis currently exceed $2.2 billion.\(^10\) The majority of patients with acute pancreatitis (80%) have a mild course of their disease, but 20% of patients develop severe pancreatitis, which is associated with high morbidity and mortality.\(^5\) Once biliary pancreatitis is resolved, cholecystectomy is indicated to reduce the risk of recurrent gallstone-related complications such as acute pancreatitis, cholecystitis, cholangitis or gallstone colics.\(^6,7\)

ABSTRACT… Background: Gallstones and biliary sludge accounted for 30–55% of acute pancreatitis in the West whereas in other countries the incidence of all cases of pancreatitis amounts up to 68.5%. The risk of subsequent attacks for patients recovering from the first attack of acute biliary pancreatitis is 30-fold higher than general population. Further attacks can be prevented by cholecystectomy. Both immediate and delayed cholecystectomies are used with different degree of success and complication rates. Objectives: To compare the frequencies of complications in early and late cholecystectomy in cases of mild acute biliary pancreatitis. Material & Methods:… Study Design: Randomized control trial. Setting: Surgical unit I Nishtar Medical College/ Hospital Multan. Duration of Study: 2 years from 1-1-2015 to 31-12-2016. Sample Size: Total 172 patients, 86 in each group. Sampling Technique: Non-probability, consecutive sampling. Results: In this study there were total 172 cases out of which 89 (51.74%) were males and 83 (48.26%) females. The mean age was 42.02±6.36 years and mean duration of symptoms was 4.08±2.54 days. Mean duration of surgery was 53.30±6.30 minutes. There were 47 males in the group undergoing early cholecystectomy and 39 in delayed with p=0.44. Both groups had maximum cases in age group of 40-50 year with p=0.39. There was no significant difference in terms of duration of symptoms (p=0.26) in both groups. There was significant difference in terms of prolonged duration of surgery where it was seen in 8 (9.30%) out of 86 cases with early as compared to 2 (2.33%) out of 86 cases with delayed cholecystectomy with p value of 0.05. Prolonged duration of hospital stay was also more seen in early cholecystectomy as compared to delayed one, seen in 10 (11.63) cases in early and 4 (4.65%) cases with delayed surgery in their respective groups with p=0.09. There was significant difference (p=0.008) in terms of per operative complication with early surgery where it affected the 18 (20.93%) cases as compared to delayed one with 6 (6.95%) cases. There was again significant difference shown in terms of recurrence of pancreatitis which was seen in 7 (8.14%) of cases with early as compared to 1 (1.16%) with delayed surgery with p=0.03. Conclusion: Early and late cholecystectomies both are performed in tertiary care hospitals. The late cholecystectomy has shown significantly lower per operative complications, duration of surgery and chances of the recurrent pancreatitis.
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A much discussed question is when during the course of pancreatitis the gallbladder should be removed.

High complication and mortality rates after early cholecystectomy in patients with severe pancreatitis have prompted guidelines recommending delaying cholecystectomy until all signs of inflammation have resolved (i.e. interval cholecystectomy). After mild biliary pancreatitis early cholecystectomy is advised by current guidelines. However, no consensus exists between these guidelines about the exact definition of ‘early’. The British Society of Gastroenterology recommended cholecystectomy within 2 weeks after discharge, whereas the International Association of Pancreatology (IAP) and the American Gastroenterological Association recommend that all patients with mild biliary pancreatitis should undergo cholecystectomy as soon as the patient has recovered from the attack. However, in contrast with these guidelines, in daily practice cholecystectomy after mild biliary pancreatitis is often postponed for several weeks after hospital discharge (interval cholecystectomy). Nationwide audits from Europe and the United States have shown that laparoscopic cholecystectomy is usually performed around 6 weeks after discharge from hospital admission for mild biliary pancreatitis. A perceived danger of perioperative complications in early cholecystectomy after acute pancreatitis is the main reason for this delay in cholecystectomy. It is believed that distorted biliary tract anatomy by inflammation and edema may complicate dissection with a higher risk of conversion and surgical complications, such as bile duct injury. Another reason is that a delayed approach facilitates surgical scheduling, as emergency theatre capacity is often limited.

OBJECTIVES
To compare the frequencies of complications in early and late cholecystectomy in cases of acute biliary pancreatitis.

Acute Biliary Pancreatitis
Patients will be labeled as a case of acute biliary pancreatitis if presents with all of these within seven days of the symptoms;
- Abdominal pain (above or around umbilicus) of severe intensity.
- serum amylase of >3 times of normal upper lab value (normal range is 23-85 U/L)
- ultrasound abdomen showing stones in Gall bladder of any size/number

Cholecystectomy
Surgical removal of gall bladder is called cholecystectomy.

Early
Cholecystectomy done within 48 hours relief of symptoms of acute biliary pancreatitis.

Late
Cholecystectomy done after 6 weeks relief of symptoms of acute biliary pancreatitis.

Perioperative Complications
This will be considered if anyone or more of the following present
a. >100ml of greenish fluid in drain in first 24hr post operatively
b. > 50ml blood loss per-operatively
c. Conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy due to difficult surgery.

Prolonged Hospital Stay
It will be labeled when the hospital stay is more than 5 days after the surgery.

Prolonged Duration of Surgery
It will be labeled when the duration of surgery from incision to closure will be more than 60 minutes.

Recurrence of Pancreatitis
It will be considered if patient presents again with the symptoms of biliary pancreatitis within 6 weeks of initial attack.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
Study Design
Randomized control trial
Settings
Surgical unit I, Nishtar Medical College/ Hospital Multan.

Duration of Study
2 years from 1-1-2015 to 31-12-3016.

Sample Size
The sample size was calculated as 86 in each group using WHO sample size calculator by keeping the power equal to 80% and significant equal to 5% using prevalence of prolonged duration of surgery in early cholecystectomy in 10% and in delayed in 0.4% of cases.

Sample Technique
Non probability consecutive sampling.

SAMPLE SELECTION
Inclusion Criteria
1. All the patients of both genders between 20 to 50 years of age.
2. Patients with first attack of acute biliary pancreatitis within seven days of the symptoms.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Alcoholics.
2. Patients presenting with complications like pseudo cyst, phlegmon, necrotizing pancreatitis assessed on ultrasound abdomen.
3. Recurrent attacks of pancreatitis
4. Bilirubin level > 4 mg/dl
5. History of Diabetes mellitus, Coagulopathies, steroids and preoperative any Malignancy.

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE
This study will be conducted after taking permission from the hospital ethical committee and informed consent from the patients. All the patients presenting in Sheikh Zayed hospital emergency fulfilling the above mentioned criteria will be enrolled in this study. After taking the sociodemographic data they will be divided in two groups (A= early, B= late surgery) by shield opaque envelop method and will undergo laparoscopic cholecystectomy in Sheikh Zayed Hospital, Rahim yar khan according to timing of their respective group (early/late) as per operational definition. They will be assessed for perioperative complications in terms of blood loss during surgery, conversion of laparoscopic to open surgery and greenish fluid in the drain for next 24 hours. Time of surgery will also be noted from the incision up to closure of the wound. Patients will then be noted for their hospital stay till discharge and time is noted in days. Patients will be followed daily for in-hospital and on weekly basis after discharge for complication like recurrence of pancreatitis by history, serum amylase and USG abdomen and final outcome will be seen at six weeks of surgery. Data will be collected and recorded on a pre-defined proforma.

DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE
Collected data will be analyzed by SPSS ver. 20. Qualitative variables like gender, type of surgery (early or late) and complications i.e. perioperative complications, prolonged duration of surgery, prolonged hospital stay and recurrence of pancreatitis will be presented in terms of frequency and percentages and quantitative variables like age, duration of symptoms, pain on VAS, duration of surgery, duration of hospital stay and time for recurrence of pancreatitis will be presented in terms of mean ± SD. Effect modifiers will be stratified through gender, age, duration of symptoms and type of surgery to see its effect on outcome variable (perioperative complication, prolonged duration of surgery, prolonged hospital stay, recurrence of pancreatitis). Two groups will be compared for complications by applying chi square test and p value <0.05 will be considered significant.

RESULTS
In this study there are total 172 cases, out of which 89 (51.74%) were males and 83 (48.26%) females as shown in Figure-1. The mean age was 42.02± 6.36 years and mean duration of symptoms was 4.08± 2.54 days as shown in Figure-2 & Table-I. Mean duration of surgery was 53.30± 6.30 minutes as in Table-II. There were 47 males in the group undergoing early cholecystectomy and 39 in delayed with p= 0.44. 39 females in early group and 44 in late group as shown in Table-III.
Both groups had maximum cases in age group of 40-50 year with \( p = 0.39 \) as in Table-IV. There was no significant difference in terms of duration of symptoms \( (p = 0.26) \) in both groups as in Table-V. Table-VI reveals that there was significant difference in terms of prolonged duration of surgery where it was seen in 8 (9.30%) out of 86 cases with early as compared to 2 (2.33%) out of 86 cases with delayed cholecystectomy with \( p \) value of 0.05. Prolonged duration of hospital stay was also more seen in early cholecystectomy as compared to delayed one, seen in 10 (11.63) cases in early and 4 (4.65%) cases with delayed surgery in their respective groups with \( p = 0.09 \) as in Table-VII. There was significant difference \( (p = 0.008) \) in terms of per operative complication with early surgery where it affected the 18 (20.93%) cases as compared to delayed one with 6 (6.95%) cases as displayed in Table-VIII. There was again significant difference shown in terms of recurrence of pancreatitis which was seen in 7 (8.14%) of cases with early as compared to 1 (1.16%) with delayed surgery with \( p = 0.03 \) as in Table-IX.
### Table-III. Type of surgery with respect to gender n= 172 (Chi square= 0.58, p= 0.44)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47 (54.65%)</td>
<td>42 (48.84%)</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>39 (45.35%)</td>
<td>44 (51.16%)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-IV. Type of surgery with respect to age groups n= 172 (Chi square= 1.86, p= 0.39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Groups (Years)</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>7 (8.14%)</td>
<td>5 (5.81%)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>15 (17.44%)</td>
<td>22 (25.58%)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>64 (74.12%)</td>
<td>59 (68.61%)</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-V. Type of surgery with respect to duration of symptoms n= 172 (Chi square= 1.26, p= 0.26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of Symptoms</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 days or less</td>
<td>53 (61.63%)</td>
<td>60 (69.77%)</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3 days</td>
<td>33 (38.37%)</td>
<td>26 (30.23%)</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-VI. Prolonged duration of surgery with respect to type of surgery n= 172 (Chi square= 3.82, p= 0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prolonged Duration of Surgery</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 (9.30%)</td>
<td>2 (2.33%)</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>78 (90.70%)</td>
<td>84 (97.67%)</td>
<td>162 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-VII. Prolonged duration of hospital stay with respect to type of surgery n= 172 (Chi square= 2.79, p= 0.09)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prolonged Duration of Hospital Stay</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 (11.63%)</td>
<td>04 (4.65%)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>76 (88.37%)</td>
<td>82 (95.35%)</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-VIII. Per operative complications with respect to type of surgery n= 172 (Chi square= 6.97, p= 0.008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per Operative Complications</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18 (20.93%)</td>
<td>06 (6.98%)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>68 (79.07%)</td>
<td>80 (93.02%)</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-IX. Recurrence of pancreatitis with respect to type of surgery n= 172 (Chi square= 4.77, p= 0.03)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recurrence of Pancreatitis</th>
<th>Early n= 86</th>
<th>Late n= 86</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>07 (8.14%)</td>
<td>01 (1.16%)</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>79 (91.86%)</td>
<td>85 (98.84%)</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
<td>172 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

In this study considering the duration of surgery, there was significant difference in terms of prolonged duration of surgery between two groups, where it was seen in 8 (9.30%) out of 86 cases with early as compared to 2 (2.33%) out of 86 cases with delayed cholecystectomy with p value of 0.05. This was also observed by many other studies where they found the longer duration of surgery with number of cases higher with early cholecystectomy.\textsuperscript{26,27,28} According to a study done by Jee SL et al\textsuperscript{26} there was no significant difference seen in both the groups and the cases with early cholecystectomy were completed in 85 minutes as compared to 80 with late cholecystectomy with p value of 0.75. The reason of the higher number with early cholecystectomy can be explained by the acute inflammatory condition of the viscera in which it takes longer to deal with the inflamed tissue. Secondly, the cases with acute conditions like spillage and massive inflammation also take longer to flush the viscera and decontaminate; hence leading to prolonged duration of surgery.

Prolonged duration of hospital stay was also more seen in early cholecystectomy as compared to delayed one, seen in 10 (11.63%) cases in early and 4 (4.65%) cases with delayed surgery in their respective groups. However, this difference was not found statistically significant with p = 0.09. This was also noted by studies done in the past.\textsuperscript{29,30} This can be explained in many ways. First of all the cases presenting with acute pain are in more agony and hence relief of pain takes longer and hence affect their hospital stay. Secondly, when they present in acute stage as compared to the delayed group where they are treated with antibiotics and anti-inflammatory agents, the inflammation and acute infection both are settled. That’s why the chances of further pain and inflammation leading to delay in healing and more symptoms are also decreased with late surgery. Thirdly, the psychiatry of the patients in terms of urgent surgery as compared to elective one can also be another factor that can help them with early mobilization and shorter hospital stay. In contrast to this, a study conducted by Aboulian A et al revealed shorter hospital stay with early cholecystectomies. However, they used slightly longer time where they performed surgery after 48 hours of admission rather than on the same day.\textsuperscript{31}

There was significant difference (p = 0.008) in terms of per operative complication with early surgery where it affected the 18 (20.93%) cases as compared to delayed one with 6 (6.95%) cases out of their 86 cases in each group. This was also observed by other studies that have assessed for different parameters regarding the per operative complications, however the difference was not found statistically significant.\textsuperscript{32,33} Although data not mentioned, but the most common types of per operative complication in our surgery was increased amount of blood loss and conversion of the laparoscopic surgery to an open surgery in cases with early cholecystectomy. According to a study done by Nebiker CA et al\textsuperscript{33} the conversion of laparoscopic surgery to open was seen in 6% with early and 3% with delayed cholecystectomy. Per operative bleeding and bile leakage was seen in 3 and 4% respectively with early and delayed surgery. The reason behind the higher cases with early surgery again cover the same pathophysiology that in acute cases there is marked inflammation that interfere with the proper surgical approached and secondly the infection of the surrounding viscera and the cavity also predispose to more chances of biliary leak. Fragility of the vessel and rough handling can also be a cause with more bleeding which was one of the parameter of per operative complications. Moreover, combining all these factors with bile leak, excessive bleeding and inflammatory exudate also lead to poor view and larger inflammatory burden led to conversion of laparoscopic surgery to conventional open laparotomy. On the other hand the cases with delayed cholecystectomies had time to settle for infections and inflammation that led to better surgical approach and lesser complication rates.

There was again significant difference shown in terms of recurrence of pancreatitis which was seen in 7 (8.14%) of cases with early as compared to 1 (1.16%) with delayed surgery with p = 0.03. The studies have shown mixed results with few shown recurrence in early and few delayed
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cholecystectomies but none of them have shown any significant association with this.\textsuperscript{34,35,36} The reason of this significantly higher number with early cholecystectomy in our study is unknown. The chance of higher rate of recurrence can be improper surgery that led to remaining of the stones that were dislodged and obstructed the bile duct and led to recurrence of pancreatitis. Inflammatory mediators also lead to cholestasis, which can also be a cause of retrograde inflammation and pancreatitis.

There were many strengths of this study, as this was done to compare the most reported and concerned points regarding the cholecystectomy and have found many significant differences.

However, there were few limitations too. As this study did not check for number and size of the stones involved and it also did not check for long term follow ups.

CONCLUSION

Early and late cholecystectomies both are performed in tertiary care hospitals. The late cholecystectomy has shown significantly lower per operative complications, duration of surgery and chances of the recurrent pancreatitis.
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