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ABSTRACT… Objectives: The aim of study was to observe the expression of MCM2 in dentigerous cyst and ameloblastoma. Introduction: Minichromosome maintenance protein (MCM2) may be a structurally and functionally complicated replication moiety that synergizes with different molecular factors therefore regulate DNA synthesis. MCM proteins play a job in maintaining genomic integrity and stop re-replication once per cell cycle. It’s absent from chromatin in quiescent cells however abundant in mitotically active cells so making it a helpful marker for cellular proliferation. Dentigerous cyst (DC) is the commonest biological process odontogenic cyst having high proliferative index that may lead to dysplastic changes and development of tumours. Ameloblastoma is uncommon, benign and regionally aggressive odontogenic neoplasm with high rate of repetition after surgery. Study design: It was a descriptive study and designed to work out the expression of MCM2 in DCs and ameloblastomas. Setting: Department of Morbid Anatomy and Histopathology/ Oral Pathology. Period: Six months. Material and methods: Twenty-five patients presenting with DCs (n=12) and ameloblastomas (n=13) were selected. Clinical and radiographical findings were recorded and biopsies were submitted for histological diagnosis. MCM2 immunopositivity was assessed by immunohistochemistry in four microscopic high power fields showing most range of immunopositive cells. Results: Mean age was 26.5± 11.24 years and 42.07± 9.24 years whereas male to feminine magnitude relation was 7:5 and 7:6 for DCs and ameloblastomas severally. Most of the patients (58.3%) of DCs were asymptomatic whereas 41.6% patients reportable with painful swelling. Comparing, all patients with ameloblastomas conferred with painless swelling. Radiographically, all DCs were unicystic radiolucent lesions. While 46.2% of ameloblastomas were unicystic whereas 53.8% were multicystic radiolucent lesions. Histologically, basal layer atypia was seen in 50% and 23% of DCs and ameloblastomas respectively. High MCM2 immunoreactivity was ascertained within the epithelial lining of the DCs and the neoplastic cells of ameloblastomas. Conclusion: MCM2 expresses the higher proliferation index that might lead to neoplastic development in DCs while local invasive and recurrence potential in ameloblastomas.
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INTRODUCTION

Mcm2, is the companion of the mini-chromosome maintenance (mcm) group of proteins that shows a key role in deoxyribonucleic acid duplication throughout G-1 of the cellular progression. Cells show its maximum expression while multiplying as it is weak or vague in the G-0 level. It is frequently utilized as a marker for cellular proliferation.¹ Primarily, these macromolecules alongwith others are involved in forming the re-plication branch. These molecules jointly standardizes the production of DNA. They build a ring shaped compound that gets stimulated when other factors are intended. Thus these retain the coherence of the genome and replication of DNA. Cells show great intensity of mcm in proliferation stage which does not appear in inactive, or grown cells.² It is often detected in normal cells in addition to pre-cancerous and cancerous cells throughout cellular propagation.³

Among the oral cystic lesions, dentigerous cyst is thought to be more prevalent representing about twenty percent of cystic lesions arising during.
develops as fluid accumulates in the middle of the reduced enamel epithelium and tooth crown broadening dental follicle further than standard diameter of 3 mm.4

Ameloblastoma is a rare but benign and aggressive regional odontogenic tumor constituting about ten percent of tumors found in both jaws.5 Though the etiology unknown yet it is considered to come from dental epithelial tissue.6

**Methodology**
We took n=25 cases comprising of dentigerous cyst (n = 12) and ameloblastoma (n = 13) from Surgery Department of de’Montmorency College of Dentistry Lahore. The study period was 6 months. Data were consisted of age of patient, sex, location along with radiographic verdicts. Minor curetting, split biopsies and true cut were collected. Blocks were prepared next to noting the gross details of biopsy. There were n=12 cases of dentigerous cyst, while n=13 were ameloblastoma. These were recorded after making H&E stained sections on glass slides and looked under light microscope by pathologists.

**Immunohistochemistry**
Around four microns sections were taken on poly L-lysine glazed glass slides. These were then deparaffinized and re-hydrated in xylene and graded ethyl alcohol, followed by dipping in citrate buffer solution having pH 4.8. Afterwards they were dried before staining procedures. Streptavidin biotin system was employed to perform the peroxidase anti-peroxidase immuno-histochemical staining. The sections were incubated with primary monoclonal anti-mcm2 antibody DAKO and then they were treated with DAB chromogen after that counter staining was done with hematoxylin. Strength and level of mcm-2 expression was determined by counting 100 nuclei in every case and ratio of positive nuclei among the overall ranks of nuclei were recorded.

**RESULTS**
In dentigerous cyst mean age was 26.5 ± 11.24 years whereas in ameloblastoma it was 42.07 ± 9.24 years with male’s predominance in both. (Figure-1)

Molar region of lower jaw was more commonly effected while comparing to incisor area of both upper and lower jaw. Out of n = 25 cases, n = 13 were seen on right and n = 7 on left side. Though n = 3 were located in incisor area. A notable variation was seen at both clinical and radiographic examination. (Table-I)

Histologically, dentigerous cyst were characterized by stratified squamous epithelial tissue with connective tissue encompassing a cystic space (Figure-2).

Chronic inflammatory infiltrate was ascertained in n = 10 (83.3%) cases of dentigerous cyst. Atypical features illustrated by pleo-morphism, changed nuclear to cytoplasm relation and amplified stratification affecting basal layer was seen in 50% (n = 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Denti-gerous Cyst</th>
<th>Amelo-blastoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Features</td>
<td>Pain 75% (n=9)</td>
<td>Painless swelling 100%(n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asymptomatic 25% (n=3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic Features</td>
<td>Uni-cystic radio-lucency 100% (n=13)</td>
<td>Uni-cystic radiolucency 46.2% (n=6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-cystic radiolucency 53.8% (n=7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure-1. Frequency of distribution of sex and age.**

**Table-I. Clinical & Radiographic Features**
In amelo-blastomas, 15.38% (n = 2) follicular, 38.46% (n = 5) plexiform and 46.15% (n = 6) unicystic types were identified (fig 3). Only 23% (n = 3) showed atypical characteristics.

All cases of dentigerous cysts showed positive mcm2 expression as brown colour in nucleus of epithelial cells (Figure-4).

In amelo-blastoma mcm2 expression was seen in nuclei of epithelial cells while in stellate reticulum it was infrequent (Figure-5).

DISCUSSION
Denti-gerous cysts are thought of foremost major odonto-genic cysts.7 sometimes, few tumours like amelo-blastoma can grow from the epithelium of dentigerous cyst.4

As regards age, it was 26.5 ± 11.24 years in current study while it was stated as 22.2 years in alike study.8 This difference could be due to variation in inheritance, race, backgrounds and socio-economic rank. Female majority is seen that is inconsistent to other alike study.9 Patients were mainly symptomless only limited were related with pain that is analogous with the outcomes in different studies.4 Radiographic and morphological features of dentigerous cysts comprehended in current study were same to the verdicts reported by Scholl RJ in his research.7 Ameloblastomas might grow completely from different sources of odonto-genic epithelium, together with epithelial lining of dental follicle.6 About fifty percent amelo-blastomas are supposed to ascend from the epithelium of a denti-gerous cyst.7 Though amelo-blastomas are benign yet destructive in nature. Multi-cystic variants are more belligerent. They can re-occur.11

In amelo-blastoma, mean age 42.07 ± 9.24 years along with high proportion of males was reported in current study Whereas Abdel-Aziz Amin stated mean age 44.18 ± 6.97 years with preponderance
of females.\textsuperscript{12} Barnes et al ascertained alike medical, radio-graphic and morphological features as comprehended in the present study.\textsuperscript{13}

Firstly, various cell-ular multiplying markers like Ki67, PCNA, Ag-NOR, and p-53 were employed to define the bio-logic activities of odonto-genic cysts and tumor.\textsuperscript{14} PCNA is thought to be linked with malignant change, blood vessels penetration and metastatic potential. Therefore it’s stood to delineate as a Colo-rectal Adenocarcinoma Biomarker.\textsuperscript{15} Ki67 is considered as s decent marker for measuring the mitotic activity since it is often detected in all parts of the cellular progression apart from the G0-point.\textsuperscript{16}

An assessment of mcm2 countenance in healthy and neoplastic tissues depicts it as a consistent bio-marker.\textsuperscript{17} In current study, mcm2 immuno-staining was found in epithelium of denti-gerous cyst that is in accordance with the observations reported by NurhanG¨uler. He performed his study on n=20 cases of denti-gerous cyst including dental follicles as well.\textsuperscript{18}

Concerning, mcm2 immuno-expression in amelo-blastoma no study was established yet.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

MCM2 determines increased mitotic activity in denti-gerous cyst which might lead to development of neoplasm while in amelo-blastomas it depicts aggressive nature and probability of re-emergence.
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