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ABSTRACT… Objectives: To compare the efficacy of Metformin with insulin in gestational diabetes mellitus in terms of fetomaternal outcome. Study Design: Randomized clinical trial study. Setting: Lady Aitchison Hospital Lahore. Period: January 2014 to March 2015. Methodology: Total 500 pregnant females with GDM were included in the study through non-probability, consecutive sampling. Patients were divided into 2 equal groups (A: B). Patients in group A were given tablet metformin 500 mg by oral route and group B was administrated regular injection Insulin by subcutaneous route. Results: The mean age of females was 32.14±6.13 years. The mean gestational age was 31.07±3.8 weeks. There were 78 (15.6%) females who had 0 parity, 107 (21.4%) females had parity 1, 175 (35%) females had parity 2, 95 (19%) females had parity 3, 33 (6.6%) females had parity 4 and 12 (2.4%) females had parity 5. There were 54 (10.8%) cases had PTB, out of which 12 (4.8%) had PTB with metformin while 42 (16.8%) had PTB with insulin. There were 115 (23%) neonates required NICU admission, out of which 37 (14.8%) neonates with metformin and 78 (31.2%) neonates with insulin. There were 87 (17%) neonates who had neonatal hypoglycemia, out of which 23 (9.2%) neonates with metformin and 64 (25.6%) neonates with insulin. The difference was significant between both groups for all fetal outcomes (P<0.05). Conclusion: The metformin is more effective in preventing adverse fetal and maternal outcome as compared to insulin.
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INTRODUCTION
Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with appearance or first detection during pregnancy, affects 2-10% pregnancies in the United States.1,2 Women with gestational diabetes have a 35-60% risk of developing DM over next 10-20 years.1

Hyperglycemia in pregnancy results in both maternal and fetal complications. Maternal complications consist of hypertension, preeclampsia, increased risk of cesarean delivery, and long term risk of diabetes mellitus. Fetal complications include macrosomia, neonatal hypoglycemia, polycythemia, increased perinatal mortality, congenital malformation, hyperbilirubinemia, respiratory distress syndrome, and hypocalcaemia. Long term effects of macrosomia include increased risk of glucose intolerance, diabetes, and obesity in childhood.3

The risk factors, for GDM, which should be noted at the first prenatal visit, include obesity, age more than 25 years, past history of gestational diabetes, first-degree relative with diabetes, bad obstetrical history, Polycystic ovarian syndrome and certain ethnic groups.

Women having insulin resistance are at risk for developing GDM. This leading to GDM is due to changes of late pregnancy. In pregnancy, human placental lactogen and tumor-necrosis factor alpha induce changes in the insulin receptor and in post-receptor signaling. Various changes at the cellular level appear to be involved in reducing glucose uptake in skeletal muscle tissue.5

The blood sugar levels should be optimized to
decrease the incidence of fetomaternal complications. The previous study has shown that aggressive management in women with GDM reduced birth weight and macrosomia in infants born to mothers who were exposed to the intervention compared with women who had received routine care. Therefore, measures such as dietary modification, exercise, oral hypoglycemic agents, and insulin – are imperative to reduce the complications.

When the above-mentioned measures do not fulfill the criteria to control blood glucose levels in pregnant women, the use of subcutaneous insulin therapy is the standard approach for management of GDM. However, insulin use has its own set of problems including multiple daily injections, the risk of hypoglycemia and maternal weight gain. It needs to be altered depending on the patient’s weight and height, glucose levels and activity levels. The issues relating to patients education and compliance as well as the cost of insulin should be considered. These arguments place oral hypoglycemic therapy into favors for women with GDM. However, it is important to take into account fetomaternal impact of oral hypoglycemic agents for the women with GDM. Metformin, which is used for T2D, is a foremost choice. Metformin has been found to have a transplacental transfer rate of 10–16% this raises possible concerns about risks of fetal anomalies, and undesirable effects for mothers and the newborns after delivery limiting its role.

The safety and use of Metformin in pregnancy is under consideration. But the inferences drawn from variety of trials, which are underpowered, lack the ability to define the relative risks and benefits of metformin for GDM.

The rationale of this study was to compare the efficacy of metformin with insulin in terms of fetomaternal outcome in gestational diabetes mellitus. There is variability in the literature that is published internationally. The study results may or may not differ from international data due to poor compliance and genetic variation from patient to patient, in the light of which new suggestions will be made for the liberal use of metformin in population and to minimize the use of parenteral therapy (insulin).

**OBJECTIVE**

To compare the outcome of Metformin with insulin in gestational diabetes mellitus.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This randomized controlled trial study was carried out on 500 pregnant women with GDM admitted in the antenatal ward of Lady Aitchison Hospital from Jan 2014 to March 2015. Written informed consent was obtained. The women were included in the study through non-probability, consecutive sampling.

Demographic information on all variables included; patient’s age, gestational age, body mass index and maternal weight gain during pregnancy were noted. The patients were divided into two equal groups (A & B) by randomization. Patients in the group A were given tablet metformin 500mg by oral route and group B was administrated injection regular insulin by subcutaneous route. Maternal BSL (2 levels i.e. BSF, 1 hour post prandial) were done hospital laboratory until delivery and dose of metformin and insulin was adjusted according to BSL. Fetal monitoring was done by ultrasound in the third trimester for fetal weight evaluation. The women between 20-45 years of age and GDM, gestational more than 20 weeks were included in the study and women who were known diabetic, with history of recent myocardial infarction and twin pregnancy were excluded from the study.

The women were evaluated for outcome measures which were Preterm delivery (It will be considered if birth is at <37 gestational weeks on LMP) and Neonatal Hypoglycemia (It was assessed by serum blood glucose level (two or more neonatal glucose values <2.6 mmol per liter [46.8 mg per deciliter] within 24 hour of birth), and all the information was recorded on Performa. The data was analyzed by t and chi square depending on the nature of the variable. A p value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS
We conducted this trial with 500 females included in the study with the mean age of 32.14±6.13 years. The mean gestational age was 31.07±3.8 weeks (24-38 weeks). There were 78 (15.6%) females who had 0 parity, 107 (21.4%) females had parity 1, 175 (35%) females had parity 2, 95 (19%) females had parity 3, 33 (6.6%) females had parity 4 and 12 (2.4%) females had parity 5.

The mean weight of females before treatment was 70.18±10.96 kg, which was increased to 73.14±11.49 kg after treatment. The overall mean change in weight of females was 2.96±1.90 kg. There were 114 (22.8%) females had normal BMI, 213 (42.6%) were overweight and 173 (34.6%) were obese.

With metformin, the mean weight of females before treatment was 69.62±10.93 kg, which was increased to 72.05±11.73 kg after treatment, with the mean weight change of 2.44±1.81 kg. With insulin, the mean weight of females was 70.75±10.98 kg, which was increased to 74.24±11.16 kg, with the mean weight change of 3.49±1.84 kg. Thus after treatment the difference was significant (P<0.05).

There were 54 (10.8%) cases had preterm birth, out of which 12 (4.8%) with metformin and 42 (16.8%) with insulin (Fig-1, Table-I).

There were 115 (23%) neonates required NICU admission, out of which 37 (14.8%) with metformin while 78 (31.2%) with insulin (Fig-2, Table-II).

There were 87 (17%) neonates had neonatal hypoglycemia, out of which 23 (9.2%) with metformin while 64 (25.6%) with insulin (Fig-3, Table-III).

There was significant difference between both groups (P<0.05).
DISCUSSION

In this trial we observed that the mean age of 32.14±6.13 years. The mean gestational age was 31.07±3.8 weeks (24-38 weeks). There were 78 (15.6%) females who had 0 parity, 107 (21.4%) females had parity 1, 175 (35%) females had parity 2, 95 (19%) females had parity 3, 33 (6.6%) females had parity 4 and 12 (2.4%) females had parity 5.

The mean weight of females before treatment was 70.18±10.96 kg, which was increased to 73.14±11.49 kg after treatment. The overall mean change in weight of females was 2.96±1.90 kg. There were 114 (22.8%) females had normal BMI, 213 (42.6%) were overweight and 173 (34.6%) were obese.

With metformin, the mean weight of females before treatment was 69.62±10.93 kg, which was increased to 72.05±11.73 kg after treatment, with the mean weight change of 2.44±1.81 kg. With insulin, the mean weight of females was 70.75±10.98 kg which was increased to 74.24±11.16 kg, with the mean weight change of 3.49±1.84 kg. Thus after treatment the difference was significant (P<0.05). In a randomized trial by Rowan, mean weight gain was 0.94±0.3 with metformin and 2.72±0.4 with insulin. (20) But another study by Tertti reported that weight gain was 0.4±2.9 kg and 2.0±3.3 kg with metformin and insulin.16

There were 54 (10.8%) cases had preterm birth, out of which 12 (4.8%) with metformin and 42 (16.8%) with insulin. There were 115 (23%) neonates required NICU admission, out of which 37 (14.8%) with metformin while 78 (31.2%) with insulin. There were 87 (17%) neonates had neonatal hypoglycemia, out of which 23 (9.2%) with metformin while 64 (25.6%) with insulin. There was significant difference between both groups (P<0.05).

In the study by Rowan PTB was observed in 0% cases with metformin and 10% with insulin, NICU admission in 6% with metformin and 19% with insulin, hypoglycemia in 9% with metformin and 18% with insulin. (20) The study by Tertti supported our results and reported that with metformin, PTB occurred in 4.4% cases, NICU admissions in 42.2% cases and neonatal hypoglycemia in 34.1% cases. With insulin, PTB occurred in 11.1% cases, NICU admissions in 62.2% cases and neonatal hypoglycemia in 57.8% cases. However, the difference was insignificant (P>0.05).16

When compared with insulin, metformin was associated with less maternal weight gain (pooled mean difference −1.14 kg (95% CI −2.22 to −0.06)), lower gestational age at delivery (pooled mean difference −0.16 weeks (−0.30 to −0.02)), and more preterm birth (pooled risk ratio 1.50 (1.04 to 2.16)). A trend was observed towards a lower rate of any neonatal hypoglycaemia (pooled risk ratio 0.78 (0.60 to 1.01)).21

In Tertti study NICU admission was 18% with metformin and 21% insulin group, hypoglycemia in 34% and 57% with metformin and insulin respectively.16 One more study reported contradictory results as reported in our study. It was observed that PTB was 12.1% with metformin and 7.6% with insulin and the statistical difference was
obtained as significant (P<0.05). (21) In another cohort of women studied by Rowan and Hughes with diabetes, maternal/fetal outcomes were as good in women using metformin as those on insulin alone, even though women in the metformin group were at higher risk of poor outcomes.22

In a study conducted by Niromanesh et al the maternal weight gain was reduced in the metformin group (P<0.001). Two groups were comparable according to neonatal and obstetric complications (P>0.05).23

Mesdaghinia and colleagues conducted a prospective randomized trial in which it was seen that maternal weight gain during pregnancy, preterm labor and hospitalization of infants were higher in in insulin group. But there were no significant statistical differences between the two groups regarding neonatal hypoglycaemia.24

In a study conducted by Spaulonci et al it was seen that women using metformin had less weight gain and lower frequency of neonatal hypoglycaemia as compared to those using insulin.25 A recent study has indicated a lesser maternal weight gain but higher incidence of preterm labor with metformin.26

CONCLUSION
It is concluded that metformin is more effective in controlling blood glucose and prevent adverse fetal outcome as compared to insulin and we have proved this through this randomized trial. Thus in future we can recommend metformin instead of insulin for control of GDM in future as we have got local magnitudes which will help us in implementation of metformin and will minimize the use of the use of parenteral therapy i.e. insulin.
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