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ABSTRACT... Objective: To determine the treatment outcome of the closed diaphyseal humeral fracture treated with dynamic compression plate at Liaquat university hospital Hyderabad. Materials and methods: Total 30 patients having displaced diaphyseal humeral fracture were included in the study. All the patients having fracture of less than 10 days and between the ages 15 – 45 years were selected. All the patients selected after counseling and diagnosed as a case of closed diaphyseal humeral shaft fracture on the basis of clinical examination and X-rays. In this study dynamic compression plates (DCP) were used for stabilization of humeral shaft fracture as assessed by pre operative workup, operative findings and outcomes were recorded with postoperative complications. To avoid the radial nerve palsy it is isolated during operative procedure. Results: Present study was comprises of 30 patients with humeral fracture with the mean age of 30.29±8.92 years and male/ female ratio of 7:3. On the radiological findings most common type of fracture was oblique in 54%. From the complications, postoperative pain was found in 6.6% of the cases along with postoperative Infections in 10%. 90% fractures united while 10% fractures found with non union. Excellent result were seen in 60% of the cases, while 30% cases were noted with satisfied results and very poor results were found in 10% of the cases. Conclusions: It is concluded that DCP is the good option for the fixation of diaphyseal humerus fracture. Radial nerve palsy is less likely if isolated during operation.
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INTRODUCTION

Fractures of the humeral shaft are commonly encountered in orthopedic clinics, and these fractures make up 1.31 to 3% of all fractures¹. The treatment approaches for these injuries continue to evolve as advances are made in both non operative and operative management². The humeral shaft is covered with muscles and is well vascularized. A slight malunion is functionally tolerated. It is generally agreed that the majority of humeral shaft fractures are best treated nonoperatively, but there are indications for primary or secondary operative treatment in some situations³. Non operative or conservative treatment may involve the use of casts or functional braces. In cases associated with severe complications, an operative intervention is preferred⁴. The encouraging outcomes that have been demonstrated with recent advances in internal fixation techniques and instrumentation have led to an expansion of surgical indications for humeral shaft fractures. There are new debates regarding the procedure of choice⁴. Surgical treatment is generally indicated in patients in whom there is a failure to maintain stable alignment and reduction at the fracture site and in the patients with severe segmental fractures, open fractures, or fractures associated with bilateral fractures, forearm fractures on the same side, poly trauma, progressive neurological deficits, vascular injury or floating shoulder or elbow⁵,6. The options for the commonly used surgical treatment of humeral shaft fractures include intramedullary nailing (IMN) and dynamic compression plate (DCP), which offer good clinical outcomes⁴. At present, both of these surgical procedures are used to treat humeral shaft fractures. Both techniques have certain mechanical and anatomical advantages and disadvantages. Plating with stable fixation and direct visualization, which is known to provide an accurate anatomic reduction and protection of the radial nerve, can reduce the risk of malunion
but requires wide intraoperative exposure associated with soft tissue stripping. The purpose of this study to determine the efficacy of dynamic compression plate in closed diaphyseal humeral fracture in both gender along with postoperative complications and outcome.

**MATERIAL & METHODS**
This descriptive study included 30 patients and was carried out at Orthopaedic Unit-I of Liaquat University Hospital Hyderabad/Jamshoro. Study was conducted in the time duration from January 2011 to January 2012. Both genders were included in the study. All the patients with closed diaphyseal, humeral shaft fracture associated with other minor injuries. Fracture of less than 10 days and between the ages 15 – 45 years were included in the study. All the patients after counseling and taking written consent were included in this study irrespective of sex and admitted in Orthopaedics Unit-I through outpatient department as well as from casualty department and diagnosed as case of type A1-2, A2-2 and A3-2 closed diaphyseal humeral shaft fracture on the basis of clinical examination and X-rays as FIGURE. All the cases with open fracture, associated with severe chest or abdominal injuries, pathological fractures and malunited fractures with neurological deficit were excluded from the study. In this study dynamic compression plates (DCP) were used for the treatment of closed diaphyseal humeral shaft fracture as assessed by preoperative work up, operative findings and outcomes were recorded with postoperative complications figure:2. Detailed Clinical examination of the patient along with all base line investigations were done and recorded in preforma. Data was entered and analyzed in the SPSS program version 17.0 simple frequencies and percentages of the qualitative data were computed. No statistical test was applied due to descriptive study.

**RESULTS**
Present study was done on 30 patients with humeral fracture, out of all patients male were found in the majority 70% as compare to the females 30% with male/female ratio of 7:3 mean age of this study was 30.29±8.92 years. Most common age group was noted between 15-24 years of the age with the percentage of 50%, while 30% cases were documented with the age group of 25-34 and only 20% cases were noted in the age group of 35-45 years. Fracture location was seen on left sides 40% and 40% on right side while bilateral fracture only 20% was found. Table-I

The most common cause of fracture shaft of humerus in our study was road traffic accident (RTA). There were 62% patients who sustained fractures of the humerus following road traffic accidents as well as 12% cases had fractures shaft of humerus after fall from height and 26% cases had fractures shaft after assault. Fig 1.
On the radiological findings fracture pattern was Oblique in 54% of the patients while Transverse were seen in 29% and Spiral fractures were documented in the 17% of the cases in the present study. FIG: 2.

The complications of this study as (post-operative pain was in found in 6.6% of the cases), Infection was found in 10% while Iatrogenic palsy of radial nerve, non union, adhesive capsulitis and implant failure were seen in the cases 3.3%, 10.0%, 3.3% and 3.3% respectively. Table-II

Excellent results were seen in 60% of the cases, while 30% cases were noted with satisfied results and very poor results were found in only 10% of the cases. Table-III

**DISCUSSION**

Present study was done on 30 patients with humeral fracture. Out of all patients male were found in the majority 70% as compare to the females 30%. The higher rate of fracture in male clearly correlates with the life style of male, mostly in our part of world. The males are more involved in outdoor activities and the young male are more enthusiastic about life and are careless drivers. Female usually have sedentary life style and less involved in driving which is a common cause. However the male to female ratio given by Mirdad TM is 9.8:1, Reyes-Saravia GA is 3.4:1. While in this study male/female ratio was of 7:3.
Mean age in this study is 30.29 years ± 8.92 years. Most common age group was noted between 15-24 years of the age with the percentage of 50%, while 30% cases were documented with the age group of 25-34 and only 20% cases were noted in the age group of 35-45 years. Fracture location was seen on left sides 50% and 40% on right side while bilateral fracture only 10% were found. Age group of the patients in the study of JPS Walia MS. et al., were varied between 18-70 years with majority of the patients in fifth to seventh decade of life.

The most common cause of fracture shaft of humerus in our study was road traffic accident (RTA). There were 62% patients who sustained fractures of the humerus following road traffic accidents and 12% cases had fractures shaft of humerus after fall from height and 26% cases had fractures shaft after assault. According to the study of Memon FA, 63.7% patient’s had fractures and soft tissue injuries caused by road traffic accident and 36.2% were resulted of domestic fall. In another study by Putti AB, out of 34 patients the cause of the injuries leading to admission was RTA in 82.3%. The findings of present study matches these studies the road traffic accident is the most common cause of fracture shaft of humerus.

On the radiological findings fracture pattern was Oblique in 54% of the patients while Transverse were seen in 29% and Spiral fractures were documented in the 17% of the cases in the present study. A study on humeral shaft by Olasinde Anthony Aytunde et al, reported that the transverse fracture was the most common. Ring D et al., found that most common fractures oblique and spiral.

Complications in this study were seen as, postoperative pain, found in 10% of the cases. Infection was create in 10% of patients. However frequency of wound infection given by Bell et al, in a series of 33 patients treated with dynamic compression plate, there was 1(3%) case of infection. Wound infection occasionally remains superficial and the bone escapes but more often the infection extends to the bone and gives rise to osteomyelitis. While Iatrogenic palsy of radial nerve, non union, adhesive capsulitis and implant failure were seen in the cases 0%, 10%, 3.3% and 3.3% respectively. In the respective IMN and DCP groups rates reported of iatrogenic radial nerve palsy were 2.6 to 14.3% and 2 to 5%. The incidence of nonunion reported in the literature is between 0–8%. In the study of Erwin Denies et al, on humeral shaft fracture; he reported that 71.4% excellent results, in 20.9% good results and poor results in 4.4%. In this study excellent result were seen in 60% of the cases, while 30% cases were noted with satisfactory results and very poor results were found only in 10% of the cases.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In the conclusion of this study total 30 patients with humeral shaft fractures, that were treated by dynamic compression plate, fractures were found in young male cases and Road traffic accidents were seen in majority. The dynamic compression plate is the very good management method for treatment of fracture shaft of humerus with very low rate of complications.
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